DUALITY PRINCIPLE OF CONSERVATION LAWS IN DISLOCATION CONTINUUM

Z. P. DUANT

Division of Applied Mechanics, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A.

Abstract—The conservation laws in a nonlinear elastic dislocation continuum are studied by combining Noether's theorem with the Nonriemannian geometric theory. The distortion tensors are newly defined in the Lagrangian description and in the Eulerian description in terms of vielbein theory, which results in some simplifications in the theoretical derivation of these laws. The first step of the derivation is to build up two basic equations of variational invariance by applying simultaneously Noether's theorem to the integral actions of a dislocation continuum system, which are expressed both in the Lagrangian and in the Eulerian descriptions, respectively. Then, based on these two equations, as the second step, we use six infinitesimal transformations of both independent and dependent variables to obtain two sets of six specific conservation laws. These transformations are: time translation; material and spatial coordinate translation; material and spatial coordinate mentural state. Finally, the duality principle is discussed in some detail between these two sets of conservation law due to free rotation of anholonomic coordinate frame of a material body in the natural state is believed not to have been revealed before.

I. INTRODUCTION

Defect continuum mechanics, as an important developing branch of generalized continuum mechanics, aims at establishing a sound theoretical basis for exploring the elastic and nonelastic behavior of materials with imperfections of various kinds, such as voids, inclusions, inhomogeneities, microcracks, and dislocations, as well as disclinations, on the microscopic and macroscopic levels. A great deal of progress in this field has been made during the last three decades. As far as the dislocation continuum theory is concerned, the most significant contributions, originally due to Kondo's work[1, 2], are due to Kröner,[3, 4] and Bilby *et al.*[5, 6]. They found that the geometric structure of a material manifold with dislocations can be closely related to Nonriemannian geometry. Since then, many papers have been published dealing with the theory of a dislocation continuum (for instance, see Ref. [7–11]).

On the other hand, for a practical application, we need to deal not only with the geometric aspects of the theory, but with the dynamic equations, as well as the conservation laws governing the motion and deformation of dislocated media. As we know, strong interest arose in the study of conservation laws for an elastic continuum since Eshelby [12] introduced the concept of the force on an elastic singularity in terms of an energy-momentum tensor. A. G. Herrmann[13] gave brief account of the history of development in the study of conservation laws for an elastic continuum. These studies[14–17] were generally based on Noether's theorem. This theorem can be stated as follows[18]: If an action integral of a certain field continuum based on a Lagrangian function satisfying Euler equations of motion remains infinitesimally invariant under some small transformations of independent and/or field variables, there must exist some conservation laws for the field corresponding to these transformations and the number of conservation laws is just equal to that of the transformations. Furthermore, within the framework of the large deformation theory, where the action integral can be represented either in Lagrangian description or in Eulerian description, the duality principle of conservation laws for both descriptions can be established using Noether's theorem[19, 20].

^{*} Visiting scholar, on leave from Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China,

The purpose of the present article is to continue the study of the conservation laws within the framework of nonlinear elastic dislocation continuum theory by combining Nonriemannian geometry with Noether's theorem. In Section 2, we shall briefly recall some basic formulations for describing the motion and deformation of a material continuum with dislocations. We shall stress the fact that the distortion tensors are newly defined in the Lagrangian description and in the Eulerian description in terms of vielbein theory, which is often used in the theory of relativity and particle physics[21-23]. In fact, introducing vielbeins to express the distortion tensor will result in some simplification in the theoretical derivation of these laws. In Section 3, we shall present a proof of Noether's theorem and then apply it simultaneously to the integral actions of a dislocation continuum system to build up two basic equations of variational invariance. These actions are expressed both in the Lagrangian and in the Eulerian descriptions, respectively. In Section 4, based on these two fundamental equations, two sets of conservation laws are obtained through six specific infinitesimal transformations of both independent and dependent variables. They are: time translation; material and spatial coordinate translations; material and spatial coordinate rotation as well as rotation of distortion tensors in the natural state. In particular, the duality principle is discussed in some detail between these two sets of conservation equations. A conservation law called the "gauge angular momentum" conservation law due to free rotation of anholonomic coordinate frame in the natural state is believed not to have been discussed before.

2. GEOMETRIC DESCRIPTION OF MOTION AND DEFORMATION

The motion and deformation of a material body with continuously distributed dislocations can be described by three different states, namely the reference, the deformed, and the natural states, respectively. Hereafter, we shall refer to them as the r-state, the d-state, and the n-state. The position of any material point P in the r-state is determined by the coordinates x^{μ} with the base vectors e_{μ} and the metric tensor

$$e_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\nu} \tag{2.1}$$

in a Euclidian space E_3 , in which the material body is immersed. When the material body is subjected to external forces from the outside, it moves and deforms from the r-state to the d-state. Meanwhile, new dislocations might be created inside the body. Using the two-point tensor method, (see Eringen *et al*[24, 25]) the motion of the body from the r-state to the d-state or from the d-state to the r-state can be determined by the relations

$$y^{a} = y^{a}(x, t)$$
 (2.2*a*)

or their inverse relations

$$x^{\mu} = x^{\mu}(y, t) \tag{2.2b}$$

respectively, where a new coordinate system y^{a} with the base vectors e_{a} and metric tensor

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{ab} = \boldsymbol{e}_a \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_b \tag{2.3}$$

is introduced for the position of the point P in the d-state. Therefore, any incremental vector

$$\mathbf{dR} = \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \, \mathbf{dx}^{\mu} \tag{2.4}$$

taken from the r-state between two material points with the coordinates x^{μ} and x^{μ} +

 dx^{μ} is transformed into

$$\mathbf{dr} = \mathbf{d}y^{a}\mathbf{e}_{a} = y^{a}_{\mu}\mathbf{d}x^{\mu}\mathbf{e}_{a} = \mathbf{h}_{\mu}\mathbf{d}x^{\mu}$$
(2.5)

in the r-state, where we introduced the notation

$$y^a_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} y^a, \quad \mathbf{h}_{\mu} = y^a_{\mu} \mathbf{e}_a, \quad h_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{h}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\nu}$$
 (2.6)

to express, respectively, the displacement gradient and the comoving coordinate base vectors and the corresponding metric tensor. Similarly, using the inverse motion (2.1b), we can also write d**R** in terms of d**r** as

$$\mathbf{dR} = \mathbf{d}x^{\mu}\mathbf{e}_{\mu} = x^{\mu}_{a} \,\mathbf{d}y^{a} \,\mathbf{e}_{\mu} = \mathbf{h}_{a} \,\mathbf{d}y^{a} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$x_a^{\mu} = \partial_a y^a, \quad \mathbf{h}_a = x_a^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mu}, \quad h_{ab} = \mathbf{h}_a \cdot \mathbf{h}_b.$$
 (2.8)

As known from dislocation continuum theory[3, 4], the n-state of the material body can be reached by cutting a very small volume spanned by three base vectors h_{μ} in the d-state off from its surroundings and releasing it from the constraints of these surroundings. The process of cutting is described in terms of an affine transformation A of the torn small material element, which is called the distortion tensor. As suggested by Sedov[7, 8], using Gibbs' dyadic notation, this tensor can be written as

$$\mathbf{A} = A^a_{\mu} \mathbf{e}_a \mathbf{h}^{\mu} \tag{2.9}$$

where A^{μ}_{μ} are the components of A and the index μ is associated with the comoving base vectors h_{μ} . Thus, the distortion tensor (2.9) can be seen as a transformation, through which each line element dr in the d-state is mapped into

$$\delta \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{r} = A^a_{\mu} \mathbf{e}_a \mathbf{h}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\nu} \, \mathbf{d} x^{\nu} = A^a_{\mu} \, \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} \, \mathbf{e}_a \tag{2.10}$$

where $\delta \mathbf{R}$ represents a small line element in the n-state.

However, this way to describe the distortion tensor is not unique. As pointed out by Gairola[26], each relaxed volume element can translate and rotate freely in the n-state. Therefore, we may assume that each element is rotated in such a way that all local frames with the base vectors e_A and the anholonomic coordinates z_A become parallel. Therefore, the local anholonomic frames are just the fragments of the global Cartesian frame. Using the local base vectors e_A , we may express A as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{\phi}_{a\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{e}^{a} \tag{2.11a}$$

with its inverse

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{\phi}^a_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{e}_a \tag{2.11b}$$

where ϕ_{aA} is called a vielbein in classic field theory[21]. $\phi_A^{\prime\prime}$ represents its contravariant form. As pointed out by Duan and Zhang[23], it is more basic and convenient to make use of the vielbein tensor instead of the metric tensor in the study of energy-momentum conservation law in the general theory of relativity. Based on a similar procedure, we introduce here the vielbein tensor (2.11a) as a fundamental dependent variable to describe the conservation laws in a dislocation continuum. Thus, combining (2.10) with (2.11a), we rewrite $\delta \mathbf{R}$ in (2.10) as

$$\delta \mathbf{R} = \delta z_A \mathbf{e}_A = \phi_{aA} \, \mathrm{d} y^a \, \mathbf{e}_A \tag{2.12a}$$

or in component forms

$$\delta z_A = \phi_{aA} \, \mathrm{d} y^a = A^a_\mu e_{aA} \, \mathrm{d} x^\mu. \tag{2.12b}$$

Consequently, A^a_{μ} and ϕ_{aA} are related to each other by

$$A^{a}_{\mu} = e^{a}_{A} \phi_{bA} y^{b}_{\mu}, \text{ or } \phi_{aA} = x^{\mu}_{a} A^{b}_{\mu} e_{bA}$$
 (2.13)

where the notation

$$e_A^a = \mathbf{e}^a \cdot \mathbf{e}_A \qquad e_{aA} = \mathbf{e}_a \cdot \mathbf{e}_A \qquad (2.14)$$

represent shifters from the y"-coordinate system to the δz_A -coordinate system.

On the other hand, from the view-point of Lagrangian representation, we may define another affine transformation **B** by which the line element d**R** seen as a counterpart of d**r** in the **r**-state is assumed to be mapped into the same line element δ **R** in the n-state, i.e.

$$\delta \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{R}. \tag{2.15}$$

Therefore, in a similar way as we did above, this mapping **B** can be expressed in the Gibbs' dyadic notation as

$$\mathbf{B} = B^{\mu}_{a} \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \mathbf{h}^{a}. \tag{2.16}$$

If we introduce another vielbein $\phi_{\mu A}$, **B** is expressed by

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{\phi}_{\mu A} \mathbf{e}_A \mathbf{e}^\mu \tag{2.17a}$$

with its inverse

$$\mathbf{B}^{-1} = \mathbf{\phi}^{\mu}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{e}_{\mu}. \tag{2.17b}$$

Substituting (2.16) and (2.17) into (2.15) respectively, we may derive the following relations between B^{μ}_{a} and $\phi_{\mu A}$ as

$$B_{a}^{\mu} = e_{A}^{\mu} \phi_{\nu A} x_{a}^{\nu}, \qquad \phi_{\mu A} = y_{\mu}^{a} B_{a}^{\nu} e_{\nu A}$$
(2.18)

and

$$\delta z_A = B^{\mu}_{a} e_{\mu A} \, \mathrm{d} y^a = \phi_{\mu A} \, \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$e_A^{\mu} = \mathbf{e}^{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{e}_A, \qquad e_{\mu A} = \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{e}_A \tag{2.20}$$

represent the shifters from the x^{μ} -coordinate system to the δ_{ZA} -coordinate system. Comparing (2.12b) with (2.19), we obtain

$$\phi_{\mu A} = A^{b}_{\mu} e_{bA} = y^{a}_{\mu} \phi_{aA} = y^{a}_{\mu} B^{\nu}_{a} e_{\nu A} \qquad (2.21a)$$

and alternatively

$$\phi_{aA} = B_a^{\nu} e_{\nu A} = x_a^{\mu} \phi_{\mu A} = x_a^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{b} e_{bA}. \qquad (2.21b)$$

Thus, it is seen that as soon as the motion (2.2a) or its inverse (2.2b) is determined,

the vielbeins $\phi_{\mu A}$, $\phi_{\alpha A}$ and the torsion tensors A^{μ}_{μ} and B^{μ}_{α} are equivalent to each other. However, the index μ appearing in A^{μ}_{μ} and in $\phi_{\mu A}$ has a different meaning: the former is associated with the comoving base vectors \mathbf{h}_{μ} , but the latter with the fixed base vectors \mathbf{e}_{μ} . For the index a, the same situation holds for B^{μ}_{α} and $\phi_{\alpha A}$ also.

Through the affine transformation A, the comoving base vectors h^{μ} and h_{μ} are transformed into

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mu} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mu} = \phi_{\mu A} \mathbf{e}_{A} \text{ and } \mathbf{g}^{\mu} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{\mu} = \phi_{A}^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{A}$$
 (2.22)

respectively, and they represent a local curvilinear coordinate frame. The corresponding metric tensor and the affine connection $\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ are defined by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{g}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{\nu} \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{g}^{\lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} = \phi^{\lambda}_{A} \partial_{\mu} \phi_{\nu A} \qquad (2.24)$$

respectively. It is obvious that if the dislocations do exist inside the body, a space M which is equipped with the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the torsion tensor

$$T^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\lambda}_{[\mu\nu]} \tag{2.25}$$

is a non-Euclidian one. We may also prove from (2.13) that the corresponding Riemann-Christoffel tensor $R^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ is identically zero, i.e.

$$R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\sigma} = 2\partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu\lambda} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\lambda}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu\rho} + \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\lambda} \equiv 0.$$
(2.26)

In other words, the Nonriemannian space M is flat.

In the study of dislocation continuum theory, it was an important discovery that the torsion tensor defined in (2.25) is just a mathematical version of dislocation density. If using the vielbein $\phi_{\mu A}$, the dislocation density of the second order in Lagrangian representation can be related to the torsion tensor $T^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ by

$$\alpha^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\lambda\sigma}_{(c)} T^{\nu}_{\lambda\sigma} = \epsilon^{\mu\lambda\sigma}_{(c)} \phi^{\lambda}_{A} \partial_{[\mu} \phi_{\nu]A}$$
(2.27)

where $\epsilon_{(e)}^{\mu\lambda\sigma}$ is the permutation symbol divided by \sqrt{e} , where $e = \det(e_{\mu\nu})$.

On the other hand, in Eulerian representation where y'' and time t are taken as independent variables, the local comoving base vectors are

$$\mathbf{g}_a = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{h}_a = \phi_{aA} \mathbf{e}_A \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{g}^a = \mathbf{B}_{-1} \cdot \mathbf{h}^a = \phi^a_A \mathbf{e}_A. \tag{2.28}$$

We can also define a non-Euclidian space \mathcal{M} equipped with the metric tensor

$$g_{ab} = \mathbf{g}_a \cdot \mathbf{g}_b = \phi_{aA} \phi_{bA}. \tag{2.29}$$

Similarly, the affine connection Γ_{bc}^{a} and the torsion tensor T_{bc}^{a} of the space \mathcal{M} are given by

$$\Gamma_{bc}^{a} = \mathbf{g}^{a} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{b}}{\partial y^{c}} = \phi_{A}^{a} \partial_{b} \phi_{cA}$$

$$T_{bc}^{a} = \Gamma_{[bc]}^{a}$$
(2.30)

respectively. Obviously, the torsion tensor T_{bc}^{u} is antisymmetric in the indices c and

b. We may also prove from (2.28) that the corresponding Riemann-Christoffel tensor is identically zero also, that is,

$$R^d_{abc} = 2\partial_{[a} \Gamma^d_{b]c} - T^c_{ac}\Gamma^d_{bc} + \Gamma^d_{ac}\Gamma^c_{bc} \equiv 0.$$
(2.31)

It means that the Nonriemannian space \mathcal{M} is flat also.

In the Eulerian description, the dislocation density of the second order can be defined as

$$\alpha^{ab} = \epsilon^{adc}_{(c)} T^b_{dc} = \epsilon^{adc}_{(c)} \phi^b_A \partial_{[d\phi e]A}$$
(2.32)

where $\epsilon_{(e)}^{abc}$ is the permutation symbol in the y"-coordinate system. Obviously the dislocation densities α^{ab} and $\alpha^{\mu\nu}$ are related to each other by the coordinate transformations (2.2a) or (2.2b), i.e.

$$\alpha^{ab} = y^a_{\mu} y^b_{\nu} \alpha^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \alpha^{\mu\nu} = x^{\mu}_a x^{\nu}_b \alpha^{ab}. \tag{2.33}$$

3. NOETHER'S THEOREM AND EQUATIONS OF VARIATIONAL INVARIANCE

3.1 Noether's theorem

Let us consider the following action integral

$$I(\Psi^{A_i}) = \int_{E_4} L(z_k; \Psi^{A_i}, \Psi^{A_i}_k, \Psi^{A_i}_k) d^4z$$
 (3.1)

taken over a bounded or unbounded region E_4 in space. In (3.1), L represents a Lagrangian density depending on the field variables Ψ^{A_i} and their first and second derivatives $\Psi_{k}^{A_i}$ and $\Psi_{kl}^{A_l}$ with respect to z_k in 4-dimensional Euclidean space. We should notice that the dependent variables $\Psi^{A_i}(A_i = A_1, A_2, \ldots)$ with the generalized indices A_1, A_2, \ldots , might be scalar, vector, or tensor-valued fields.

As we know, the variational Euler equations of motion following from $\delta I = 0$ in (3.1) for the problem with fixed boundaries are

$$E(L) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi^{A_{l}}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi^{A_{l}}_{k}} \right) + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{k} \partial z_{l}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi^{A_{l}}_{k}} \right) = 0 \qquad (k, l = 0, 1, 2, 3).$$
(3.2)

For the action integral (3.1), we introduce the small transformations of dependent and independent variables as

$$\overline{z}_k = z_k + \delta z_k$$
 (k = 0, 1, 2, 3) (3.3)

and

$$\overline{\Psi}^{A_i}(\overline{z}) = \Psi^{A_i}(z) + \delta \Psi^{A_i}$$
(3.4)

where δz_k and $\delta \Psi^{A_i}$ represent the variations of z_k and Ψ^{A_i} , respectively. With these transformations, the action integral (3.1) changes into

$$\overline{I}(\overline{\Psi}^{A_i}) = \int_{\overline{E}_4} L(\overline{z}_k, \overline{\Psi}^{A_i}, \overline{\Psi}^{A_i}, \overline{\Psi}^{A_i}, \overline{\Psi}^{A_i}) d^4 \overline{z}.$$
(3.5)

From (3.3) and (3.4), we may calculate

$$\overline{\Psi}^{A_i}(\overline{z}) = \Psi^{A_i}(z) + \delta_* \Psi^{A_i} + \Psi^{A_i} \delta z_k + O(\delta z^2)$$

$$\overline{\Psi}^{A_i}(\overline{z}) = \Psi^{A_i}(z) + \delta_* \Psi^{A_i}_k + \Psi^{A_i} \delta z_i + O(\delta z^2)$$

$$\overline{\Psi}^{A_i}(\overline{z}) = \Psi^{A_i}(z) + \delta_* \Psi^{A_i}_k + \Psi^{A_i}_{k/m} \delta z_m + O(\delta z^2)$$
(3.6)

with Ψ_{klm}^{A} representing the third derivatives of $\Psi^{A_{i}}$ with respect to z_{k} and δ_{*} means a variational operator only due to the transformation of field variables $\Psi^{A_{i}}$ themselves, i.e.

$$\delta_* \Psi^{A_i} = \overline{\Psi}^{A_i}(z) - \Psi^{A_i}(z)$$

$$\delta_* \Psi^{A_i}_k = \overline{\Psi}^{A_i}(z) - \Psi^{A_i}(z)$$

$$\delta_* \Psi^{A_i}_{k'} = \overline{\Psi}^{A_i}(z) - \Psi^{A_i}(z).$$
(3.7)

In addition, the new volume element $d^4\overline{z}$ becomes

$$d^{4}\bar{z} = [1 + (\delta z_{k})_{k}] d^{4} z. \qquad (3.8)$$

Substituting (3.6) and (3.8) into (3.5) and making use of Taylor series expansion technique, after some algebraic manipulation, we obtain

$$\overline{I}(\overline{\Psi}^{A_{i}}) = I(\Psi^{A_{i}}) + \int_{E_{4}} [E(L)\delta_{*}\Psi^{A_{i}} + \nabla_{k}F_{k}] d^{4} z + O(\delta z^{2})$$
(3.9)

where the operator E on L is given in (3.2), ∇_k means a 4-D divergence operator and F_k is expressed by

$$F_{k} = B_{kl}\delta z_{l} + \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi_{kl}^{A_{l}}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi_{kl}^{A_{l}}}\right)\right]\delta \Psi^{A_{l}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi_{kl}^{A_{l}}}\delta \Psi_{l}^{A_{l}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi_{kl}^{A_{l}}}\Psi_{ml}^{A_{l}}(\delta z_{m})_{l} \quad (3.10)$$

with

$$B_{kl} = L\delta_{kl} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi_{k}^{A_{l}}} \Psi_{l}^{A_{l}} + \nabla_{m} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi_{km}^{A_{l}}}\right) \Psi_{l}^{A_{l}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi_{km}^{A_{l}}} \Psi_{ml}^{A_{l}}.$$
 (3.11)

From (3.9), we come to the conclusion: if the fields $\Psi^{A_i}(A_i = A_1, A_2, ...)$ satisfy the corresponding Euler equations (3.2), then the functional (3.1) remains infinitesimally invariant at Ψ^{A_i} under the small transformations (3.3) and (3.4) if and only if Ψ^{A_i} also satisfies

$$\nabla_k F_k = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Equation (3.12) which we call the equation of variational invariance, is the mathematical version of the celebrated Noether's theorem.

In what follows, we shall apply this basic formalism (3.12) to derive the conservation laws for the elastic dislocation continuum by means of both Lagrangian and Eulerian representations, and discuss the duality principle of these two sets of conservation laws.

3.2 Variational formulation for a dislocation continuum

Generally speaking, a material body containing a large number of moving dislocations could not possibly be considered as a conservative system. During the motion of dislocations, the macroscopic plastic deformation takes place as an irreversible thermo-mechanical process and the plastic work done by stresses is irreversibly converted into the thermal energy, which will lead to the evident increase of temperature inside the body. Meanwhile, other irreversible effects, due to heat conduction and viscous dissipation are, in general, involved. In this sense, the conservation laws which are valid for the perfect elastic medium no longer exist for the medium considered here. However, if the deformation which occurs in the material is not large so that all the irreversible effects can be ignored, i.e. the variations of entropy and temperature inside body are not significant, the conservation relations can be established within the framework of elastic dislocation continuum theory. as was done for a perfect elastic medium[18, 19].

In the following derivation, for simplicity, the coordinates x^{μ} and y^{μ} are always assumed to be rectilinear. Thus, in Langrangian representation, we assume in (3.1) that

$$z_0 = t, \quad z_k = x_\mu \quad (k = \mu = 1, 2, 3)$$
 (3.13)

and the fields Ψ^{A_i} are given by

$$\Psi^{A_{i}} \equiv \begin{cases} y_{a} & A_{i} = A_{1} = a \\ \phi_{\mu A} & A_{i} = A_{2} = \mu A. \end{cases}$$
(3.14)

.

If the Lagrangian function of the system is assumed to depend not only on macroscopic kinetic and elastic strain energies but also on dislocation density, as well as its time derivative, then it takes the general form

$$L = L(x_{\mu}, t; y_a, \dot{y}_a, y_{a\mu}, \phi_{\mu A}, \dot{\phi}_{\mu A}, \phi_{\mu A\nu}, \dot{\phi}_{\mu A\nu})$$
(3.15)

with the notations

$$\dot{\phi}_{\mu A} = \frac{\partial \phi_{\mu A}}{\partial t} \qquad \phi_{\mu A \nu} = \frac{\partial \phi_{\mu A}}{\partial x_{\nu}} \qquad \dot{\phi}_{\mu A \nu} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi_{\mu A}}{\partial t \partial x_{\nu}} .$$
 (3.16)

The Euler equations of motion corresponding to (3.2) are

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{a}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{a\mu}} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}_{a}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_{\mu A}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_{\mu A \nu}} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\mu A}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\mu A \nu}} \right) \right].$$
(3.17)

For the following small transformations of x_{μ} , t, y_a , and $\phi_{\mu A}$

$$\overline{x}_{\mu} = x_{\mu} + \delta x_{\mu}$$

$$\overline{t} = t + \delta t$$

$$\overline{y}_{a} = y_{a} + \delta y_{a}$$

$$\overline{\phi}_{\mu A} = \phi_{\mu A} + \delta \phi_{\mu A}$$
(3.18)

eqn (3.12) is specified in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ e\delta t + b_{\mu}\delta x_{\mu} + P_{a}\delta y_{a} + S_{\mu A}\delta \phi_{\mu A} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\mu A\nu}} \phi_{\lambda A\nu}(\delta x_{\lambda})_{\mu} \right\} \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \left\{ e_{\mu}\delta t + b_{\mu\nu}\delta x_{\nu} + P_{a\mu}\delta y_{a} + S_{\nu A\mu}\delta \phi_{\nu A} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\nu A\mu}} \delta \dot{\phi}_{\nu A} \right\} = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

where the following abbreviations were introduced

$$e \equiv L - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}_{a}} \dot{y}_{a} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \mu}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A}} \right] \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \mu}} \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \mu}$$

$$e_{\mu} \equiv - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{a \mu}} \dot{y}_{a} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \mu}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_{\sigma A \mu}} \right] \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \mu}} \ddot{\phi}_{\sigma A}$$

$$b_{\mu} \equiv - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}_{a}} y_{a \mu} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \nu}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \nu}} \right] \phi_{\sigma A \mu} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \nu}} \phi_{\sigma A \nu \mu}$$

$$b_{\mu\nu} \equiv L \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{a\nu}} y_{a\mu} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \nu}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_{\sigma A \nu}} \right] \phi_{\sigma A \mu} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \mu}} \dot{\phi}_{\sigma A \mu}$$
(3.20a)

Duality principle of conservation laws in dislocation continuum

as well as

$$P_{a} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}_{a}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}_{a\mu}} \right]$$

$$P_{a\mu} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{a\mu}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}_{a\mu}} \right]$$

$$S_{\mu A} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\mu A}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\mu A \nu}} \right]$$

$$S_{\nu A \mu} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_{\nu A \mu}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\nu A \mu}} \right].$$
(3.20b)

Similarly, in Eulerian representation, we assume in (3.1) that

$$z_0 = t, \quad z_k = y_a \quad (k = a = 1, 2, 3)$$
 (3.21)

and

$$\Phi^{A_{i}} \equiv \begin{cases} x_{\mu} & A_{i} = A_{1} = \mu \\ \phi_{aA} & A_{i} = A_{2} = aA. \end{cases}$$
(3.22)

Here we have used the notation Φ^{A_i} to replace Ψ^{A_i} in the Eulerian representation and the Lagrangian density takes the form

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}(y_a, t; x_{\mu}, \dot{x}_{\mu}, x_{\mu a}, \phi_{aA}, \dot{\phi}_{aA}, \phi_{aAb}, \dot{\phi}_{aAb}).$$
(3.23)

The Euler equations of motion corresponding to (3.2) are expressed by

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial x_{\mu}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{a}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial x_{\mu a}} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_{\mu}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \phi_{aA}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{b}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \phi_{aAb}} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{aA}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{b}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{aAb}} \right) \right].$$
(3.24)

With the small transformations of y_a , t, x_{μ} , and ϕ_{aA} as given by

$$\overline{y}_{a} = y_{a} + \delta y_{a}$$

$$\overline{t} = t + \delta t$$

$$\overline{x}_{\mu} = x_{\mu} + \delta x_{\mu}$$

$$\overline{\phi}_{aA} = \phi_{aA} + \delta \phi_{aA}$$
(3.25)

the eqn (3.12) is also specified in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ E\delta t + p_{a}\delta y_{a} + B_{\mu}\delta x_{\mu} + S_{aA}\delta\phi_{aA} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\phi}_{aAb}}\phi_{cAb}(\delta y_{c})_{a} \right\}$$
$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{a}} \left\{ E_{a}\delta t + p_{ab}\delta y_{b} + B_{\mu a}\delta x_{\mu} + S_{bAa}\delta\phi_{bA} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\phi}_{bAa}}\delta\dot{\phi}_{bA} \right\} = 0 \quad (3.26)$$

where we introduced the following abbreviations

$$E = \mathscr{L} - \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_{\mu}} \dot{x}_{\mu} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_a} \left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{cAa}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{cA}} \right] \dot{\phi}_{cA} - \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{cAa}} \dot{\phi}_{cAa}$$

$$E_{a} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{\mu a}} \dot{x}_{\mu} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\epsilon A a}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \phi_{\epsilon A a}} \right] \dot{\phi}_{\epsilon A} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\epsilon A a}} \ddot{\phi}_{\epsilon A}$$

$$p_{a} \equiv -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_{\mu}} x_{\mu a} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{b}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\epsilon A b}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\epsilon A}} \right] \phi_{\epsilon A a} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\epsilon A b}} \phi_{\epsilon A b a}$$

$$p_{ab} \equiv \mathcal{L} \delta_{ab} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{\mu b}} x_{\mu a} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\epsilon A b}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{\epsilon A b}} \right] \phi_{\epsilon A a} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\epsilon A b}} \dot{\phi}_{\epsilon A a}$$

$$(3.27a)$$

as well as

$$B_{\mu} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_{\mu}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{a}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_{\mu a}} \right]$$

$$B_{\mu a} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial x_{\mu a}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_{\mu a}} \right]$$

$$S_{aA} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{aA}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{b}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{aAb}} \right]$$

$$S_{aAb} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \phi_{aAb}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{aAb}} \right].$$
(3.27b)

In comparing (3.19) to (3.26), we observe that these two equations of variational invariance are dual in form, where the role of x_{μ} and y_{μ} is merely interchanged. Using these two basic equations, the conservation laws of various kinds can be derived using the duality principle of conservation laws as illustrated below.

4. CONSERVATION LAWS AND THEIR DUALITY PRINCIPLE

A. G. Herrmann[13, 19] discussed in some detail the conservation laws and their principle of duality in elastic continua in terms of an alternate and simpler procedure. The results have been extended to finite elastic medium by Duan and G. Herrmann[19]. They showed that if the same kind of specific transformation of either independent or dependent variables which can keep both the action integrals infinitesimally invariant is applied simultaneously to the basic Lagrangian and Eulerian conservation equations (3.19) and (3.26), one can obtain the corresponding specific conservation laws. These laws are expressed in different mathematical forms but contain the same physical information, therefore they are dual. Now, following this rule and using the Cartesian coordinates for x_{μ} and y_{a} , we shall discuss the duality principle of conservation laws in a dislocation continuum in terms of vielbein theory.

Time translation and energy conservation law

The time translation can be expressed in the form

$$\delta t = \epsilon_t, \quad \delta x_\mu = 0, \quad \delta y_a = \delta \phi_{\mu A} = 0$$
 (4.1)

in Lagrangian representation and

$$\delta t = \epsilon_t, \quad \delta y_a = 0, \quad \delta x_\mu = \delta \phi_{aA} = 0$$
 (4.2)

in Eulerian representation, where ϵ_i is a small time parameter. Substituting (4.1) and (4.2) into (3.19) and (3.26) respectively, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial e_{\mu}}{\partial x_{\mu}} = 0 \tag{4.3a}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial E_a}{\partial y_a} = 0. \tag{4.3b}$$

These two equations are known as the dual forms of the energy conservation laws, which hold true if both Lagrangians L and \mathcal{F} do not depend on t explicitly.

Translation of material coordinate frame and material momentum conservation law Let

$$\delta t = 0, \qquad \delta x_{\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu} \tag{4.4}$$

in (3.19) and (3.26), where ϵ_{μ} are three small parameters of the same order. Since the translation of the coordinate x_{μ} does not make any changes in y_{a} , and $\phi_{\mu A}$, we have

$$\delta y_{\mu} = \delta \phi_{\mu A} = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

in (3.19) and

$$\delta y_a = \delta \phi_{aA} = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

in (3.26). Substituting (4.4) and (4.5) into (3.19) and (3.26) respectively, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial b_{\mu}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial b_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x_{\nu}} = 0.$$
(4.7*a*)

Similarily,

$$\frac{\partial B_{\mu}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B_{\mu a}}{\partial y_{a}} = 0. \tag{4.7b}$$

These two equations respresent the material momentum conservation laws and are dual to each other. As shown for a perfect elastic continuum, these conservation laws play an important role in elastic fracture mechanics in determining J-integrals and they hold true if and only if the Lagrangians L and \mathcal{L} do not depend on x^{μ} explicitly.

Translation of spatial coordinates frame and physical momentum conservation law

In classical physics, it is known that the translation of space coordinates y_a leads to linear momentum conservation laws. This also holds true in dislocation continuum mechanics. To show this, let us assume

$$\delta t = 0, \qquad \delta y_a = \epsilon_a \tag{4.8}$$

in (3.19) and (3.26), respectively, where ϵ_a are three small parameters. For the same reason as presented above, translation of spatial coordinate frame does not make any change in x_{μ} and $\phi_{\mu A}$ or ϕ_{aA} , therefore, we have from (3.19) and (3.26) that

$$\delta x_{\mu} = 0, \qquad \delta \phi_{\mu A} = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\delta x_{\mu} = 0, \qquad \delta \phi_{aA} = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Substitution of (4.8) and (4.9) into (3.19) leads to the following physical momentum conservation law in Lagrangian representation,

$$\frac{\partial P_a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial P_{a\mu}}{\partial x_{\mu}} = 0.$$
 (4.11*a*)

Similarily, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial p_a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial p_{ab}}{\partial y_b} = 0, \qquad (4.11b)$$

which represents the physical momentum conservation law in Eulerian representation. In fact, equations (4.11*a*) and (4.11*b*) are the dual forms of the physical momentum conservation laws and hold true if and only if the Lagrangians L and \mathcal{L} do not depend on y_a explicitly.

If we compare the expressions for b_{μ} and $b_{\mu\nu}$ in $(3.20)_{3-4}$ with the expressions for p_a and p_{ab} in $(3.27)_{3-4}$, we may see that b_{μ} and $b_{\mu\nu}$ are related to x_{μ} and ϕ_{aA} in the same fashion as p_a and p_{ab} are related to y_a and $\phi_{\mu A}$. This comparison is also confirmed for B_{μ} , $B_{\mu a}$ and P_a , $P_{a\mu}$. We call b_{μ} , $b_{\mu\nu}$ (or B_{μ} , $B_{\mu a}$) the material momenta and p_a , p_{ab} (or P_a , $P_{a\mu}$) the physical momenta. The material momenta are independent of the physical momenta, therefore, the conservation laws (4.7*a*,*b*) by no means imply the conservation equations (4.11*a*,*b*) and vice versa.

Rotation of material coordinate frame and material angular momentum conservation laws

The small rotation of a material coordinate frame can be expressed by

$$\delta x_{\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \, \alpha_{\nu} \, x_{\lambda}, \qquad (4.15)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ is the permutation symbol, and α_{ν} are the three small arbitrarily chosen parameters. If we introduce the notation

$$\delta x_{\mu\lambda} \equiv \frac{\partial (\delta x_{\mu})}{\partial x_{\lambda}} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \alpha_{\nu} \qquad (4.16)$$

by which any physical quantity $f_{\mu\nu\dots}$ having indices μ, ν, \dots is transformed to

$$\delta f_{\mu\nu\ldots} = \delta x_{\mu\lambda} f_{\lambda\nu\ldots} + \delta x_{\nu\lambda} f_{\mu\lambda\ldots} + \ldots \qquad (4.17a)$$

Applying the rule (4.17a) to the vielbein transformations $\delta \phi_{\mu A}$, we obtain

$$\delta \phi_{\mu A} = \delta x_{\mu \nu} \phi_{\nu A}, \qquad \delta \dot{\phi}_{\mu A} = \delta x_{\mu \nu} \dot{\phi}_{\nu A}. \tag{4.17b}$$

Substituting (4.17a,b) into (3.19), we obtain

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\left(\frac{\partial m_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial m_{\sigma\mu\nu}}{\partial x_{\sigma}}\right)=0, \qquad (4.18a)$$

where the notation $m_{\mu\nu}$ and $m_{\sigma\mu\nu}$

$$m_{\mu\nu} = b_{\mu}x_{\nu} + s_{\mu A}\phi_{\nu A} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\nu A\lambda}}\phi_{\mu A\lambda} \qquad (4.19)$$

and

$$m_{\sigma\mu\nu} = b_{\sigma\mu}x_{\nu} + s_{\muA\sigma}\phi_{\nu A} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\mu A\sigma}} \dot{\phi}_{\nu A} \qquad (4.20)$$

represent the material angular momentum.

In Eulerian representation, x_{μ} are treated as dependent variables, therefore, the rotation of coordinates x_{μ} cannot make any change in the vielbein ϕ_{aA} and y_a . Sub-

Duality principle of conservation laws in dislocation continuum

stitution of (4.15) into (3.25) leads to

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\left(\frac{\partial M_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial M_{\mu\nu\alpha}}{\partial y_{\alpha}}\right)=0, \qquad (4.18b)$$

where

$$M_{\mu\nu} = B_{\mu}x_{\nu}, \qquad M_{\mu\nu\alpha} = B_{\mu\alpha}x_{\nu}. \tag{4.21}$$

Equations (4.18a) and (4.18b) represent the dual forms of material angular momentum conservation law. Equations (4.18a) take on a complicated form, but (4.18b) is rather simple.

Rotation of space coordinate frame and conservation laws of physical angular momentum

The physical moment of momentum conservation law can be derived in the same way as we derived (4.18a,b) by applying the small rotation transformation of space coordinates y_a

$$\delta y_a = \epsilon_{abc} \, \alpha_b \, y_c \tag{4.22}$$

to the eqns (3.19) and (3.26). This conservation law is expressed either by

$$\epsilon_{abc} \left(\frac{\partial m_{ab}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial m_{\mu ab}}{\partial x_{\mu}} \right) = 0 \tag{4.23a}$$

in the Lagrangian representation or by

$$\epsilon_{abc} \left(\frac{\partial M_{ab}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial M_{dab}}{\partial y_d} \right) = 0 \tag{4.23b}$$

in the Eulerian representation, where

$$m_{ab} = P_a y_b, \qquad m_{\mu ab} = P_{a\mu} y_b \tag{4.24}$$

and

$$M_{ab} = p_a y_b + S_{aA} \phi_{bA} + + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{bAe}} \phi_{aAe} \qquad (4.25)$$

$$M_{dab} = p_{da}y_b + S_{aAd}\phi_{bA} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{aAd}} \dot{\phi}_{bA}$$
(4.26)

Rotation of local anholonomic coordinate frame and gauge angular momentum

In dealing with the conservation laws for a dislocation continuum, a question arises: except for the conservation laws derived above, does there exist another kind of conservation law which is related to local coordinate transformation of the material body in the n-state? As we stated above, each relaxed volume element in the n-state can rotate freely without leading to any change of the Lagrangian of the system. Therefore, instead of the rotation of the relaxed element, we may also introduce a small rotation transformation of local anholonomic coordinate frame as

$$\delta z_A = \epsilon_{ABC} \alpha_B \delta z_C, \qquad (4.27)$$

where α_C are small parameters. This rotation should not lead to any change of the Lagrangian of the system. Using the same rule as given in (4.17a), the variations of

Z P DUAN

vielbein and its derivatives are given by

$$\begin{split} \delta \phi_{\mu A} &= \epsilon_{ABC} \alpha_B \phi_{\mu C} \\ \overline{\delta} \dot{\phi}_{\mu A} &= \epsilon_{ABC} \alpha_B \dot{\phi}_{\mu C} \\ \overline{\delta} \phi_{\mu A\nu} &= \epsilon_{ABC} \alpha_B \dot{\phi}_{\mu C\nu} \\ \overline{\delta} \dot{\phi}_{\mu A\nu} &= \epsilon_{ABC} \alpha_B \dot{\phi}_{\mu C\nu} \end{split}$$
(4.28)

Since the rotation of the local anholonomic coordinate system is independent of the coordinates x_{μ} or y_a and does not change in the indices μ and a, under this transformation (4.27), we have, therefore,

$$\delta t = \delta x_{\mu} = \delta y_{\alpha} = 0. \tag{4.29}$$

Substituting (4.28) and (4.29) into (3.19), we find the following conservation equations

$$\epsilon_{AEC} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(S_{\mu A} \phi_{\mu C} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu}} \left(S_{\mu A \nu} \phi_{\mu C} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\mu A \nu}} \dot{\phi}_{\mu C} \right) \right] = 0.$$
 (4.30*a*)

In a very similar way, under the transformation (4.27), eqns (3.26) reduce to

$$\epsilon_{AEC} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(S_{aA} \phi_{aC} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_a} \left(S_{bAa} \phi_{bC} + \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{bAa}} \dot{\phi}_{bC} \right) \right] = 0 \qquad (4.30b)$$

in the Eulerian representation.

Finally, we should notice here that since the transformation (4.27) is independent of time and point coordinates, regardless of whether or not the Lagrangian function Lor \mathcal{L} depends on time or the coordinates explicitly, the "gauge angular momentum" conservation laws (4.30*a*) and (4.31*b*) always hold true. In a separate study, we shall further discuss the physical meaning of this conservation law.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Combining the vielbein theory of dislocations with Noether's theorem, an effective method is presented to deal with conservation laws and their duality principles in such media. Besides the conventional conservation laws derived from the time translation and translation and rotation of material and spatial coordinate frames, the procedure yields an additional conservation law termed the "gauge angular momentum" conservation law by employing a small rotation of the local coordinate frame to the variational invariance equations. When there are no dislocations inside the body, all conservation laws derived above will reduce to those studied extensively in elastic (linear or non-linear) continua[15–18]. In other words, the results given in the study can be considered as a natural extension of the elastic continuum theory to dislocation continuum theory.

All conservation laws are expressed in 4-dimensional divergence-free forms. For the static problem, the conservation laws can be represented through so-called pathindependent integral forms which are of major importance in the study of defect and fracture mechanics. In this study, we did not mention any specific forms of the Lagrangian functions. We would like to point out here, however, that any physical quantities appearing in the Lagrangian must remain not only covariant with respect to coordinate transformations but invariant with respect to local coordinate transformations as well. Following this principle, the elastic strain tensor, dislocation density tensors and their time differentials are suggested to be such proper quantities. Once the Lagrangian of a dislocation continuum system is given in a specific form, all the above derived conservation laws can be written in their specific form. In a separate study, we shall discuss this issue in some detail, where special attention will be given to the

problem of determining the dependence of the Lagrangian on its determining parameters and the practical application of path-independent integrals based on conservation laws.

Acknowledgement-The topic of this study was suggested by the late A. Golebiewska Herrmann of Stanford University and was worked out in memory of her. The author is grateful to Prof. George Herrmann for his hospitality and encouragement of this study. The author also acknowledges many helpful discussions with Y. S. Duan during his visit to the Stanford Linear Accelarator Center. This research was supported in part by DOE under contract No DE-AT03-82ER12040 to Stanford University.

REFERENCES

- 1. K. Kondo, Proc. Jap. Nat. Cing. Appl. Mech 40 (1952).
- 2. K. Kondo, RAAG Memoirs 1-4, Dir. D. Gakajutsu Bunken Fukyukai, Tokoy (1955, 1958, 1962, 1968).
- 3. E. Kröner and R. Rieder, Zeit. der Physik 145, 424 (1956).
- 4. E. Kröner, Kontinuum Theorie der Verz. und Eigenspann., Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1958).
- 5. B. A. Bilby et al., Proc. R. Soc. London A321, 263 (1955).
- 6. B. A. Bilby, Progress in Solid Mechanics (Edited by I. N. Sneddon and R. Hill), Vol. 1, 331, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1960).
- 7. L. I. Sedov, UMN 26, 125 (1956).
- 8. L. I. Sedov and V. L. Berditchevski, Mech. of generalized continua, (Edited by E. Kröner) IUTAM symposium, 214 (1967).
- 9. F. Bloom, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 733, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1979).
- 10. S. Amari, RAAG Memoirs 3, D-XV (1968).
- 11. E. Kröner, Mechanics of Generalized Continua, IUTAM symposium, Springer-Verlag, N.Y. Inc. (1968).
- 12. J. D. Eshelby, The force on an elastic singularity. Phil. Tran. Roy. Soc. London A244, 87 (1951).
- 13. A. Golebiewska Herrmann, On conservation laws of continuum mechanics. Int. J. Solids Structures 17, 1 (1981).
- 14. Y. S. Duan and Z. P. Duan, Gauge Field Theory of Dislocation and Disclination Continuum, SLAC-PUB-286, (1984).
- 15. W. Günther, Uber einige randintegral der elastomechanik. Abh. Braunschw. Wiss. Ges. 14, 54 (1962).
- 16. J. K. Knowles and Eli Sternberg, On a class of conservation laws in linearized and finite elastostatics, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 44, 187 (1972).
- 17. D. C. Fletcher, Conservation laws in linear elastodynamics. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 60, 329 (1976).
- 18. Noether, E., "Invariant variational problems," *Trans. Th. Stat. Phys.* (trans. Tavel, M.), 1, 186 (1971). Also see Nachr. Ges. Göttingen (Math-Phys. Klasse), Vol. 3, 235 (1918).
- 19. Z. P. Duan and G. Herrmann, On the duality principle of conservation laws in finite elastodynamics. In press.
- 20. A. Golebiewska Herrmann, Int. J. Engng Sci. 16, 329 (1978).
- 21. C. Møller, The Theory of Relativity, Chap. XI, Sec. 126 (1955).
- 22. D. R. Brill and J. A. Wheeler, Rev. of Mod. Phy. 29, 465 (1957).
- 23. Y. S. Duan and J. Y. Zhang, Acta Physica Sinica 19, 689 (1963).
- A. C. Eringen et al. Continuum Physicas, Vol. 11, Academic Press, New York (1975).
 A. C. Eringen and E. S. Suhubi, Elastodynamics, Vol. 1. Finite Motion, Academic Press, New York (1974).
- 26. B. K. D. Gairola, Nonlinear elastic problem, in Dislocation in Solids (Edited by F. R. N. Nabarro), North-Holland Publishing Company, (1979).